

Subject: Fwd: #10 on Mondays Council Agenda: Committees review

From: Knud Hille <kshille@yahoo.com>

Date: Sat, 2 Dec 2006 17:14:39 -0800 (PST)

To: Corrie Kost <corrie@kost.ca>, FONVCA c o Brian Platts <bplatts@shaw.ca>

Knud Hille <kshille@yahoo.com> wrote:

Date: Sat, 2 Dec 2006 17:11:16 -0800 (PST)

From: Knud Hille <kshille@yahoo.com>

Subject: #10 on Mondays Council Agenda: Committees review

To: David Knee <pairofknees@telus.net>

CC: Cathy Adams <cathyadams@shaw.ca>

Hi Dave,

Have a look and see, i.e. don't see the Anti-Whistling Train Committee (what is its name, actually) not being mentioned on Page 5 of CAO's report...

And the Marine Drive Committee..., Cathy?

Under what category do these fall: "Ad hoc" or "Outside"?

I'll take the opportunity to comment on the necessity of the review part and "...less rigid framework...":

they are being run pretty loose and "cozy" right now, e.g. ADP & TPAC;

as observed recently their meeting "minutes":

- do **not** include issued Staff Reports;
- do **not always** include motions made;
- do **not** get relevant independent studies (e.g. Traffic) issued prior to meeting;
- do **not** adhere to (a motion at the meeting) approved agenda; and
- when it is being changed, no motion is made to revise it accordingly, and/or does not get published prior to the meeting date;
- do **not always** adhere to their mandate;

CHEERS

K'NUD

Want to start your own business? Learn how on [Yahoo! Small Business.](#)