

Subject: Re: Agenda Item #9 - Bylaw 7555 Security Alarm Systems Bylaw

Date: Sun, 24 Jul 2005 17:03:42 -0700

From: Corrie Kost <corrie@kost.ca>

To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

CC: John Hunter <hunterjohn@telus.net>, "Elizabeth James" <cagebc@yahoo.com>, "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>, "Council Remuneration" <wrtracey@telus.net>, "Brian Platts" <bplatts@shaw.ca>, "Cathy Adams" <cathyadams@canada.com>, "Eric Andersen" <eric_g_andersen@hotmail.com>, "Pam Bookham" <bookham@shaw.ca>, "Peter Thompson" <bedeconsulting@shaw.ca>, "FONVCA" <fonvca@fonvca.org>, Weldon Congdon <d.w.c@shaw.ca>, Brian Konst NET <bkonst@maclaw.bc.ca>, Dan London NET <dan@sunrisesolutions.bc.ca>, David Moulton NET <dmoulton@telus.net>, Murray Dykeman <jmdykeman@shaw.ca>

Ok - so nothing in NSN Wed/Fri/Sun nor in Outlook.
Here we have a case of an almost complete reversal
of a council decision (a reversal that was fully justified
in the opinion of most) that would have negatively
impacted some 15,000 residential homes and not a peep
from the local newspapers? I though this would be
newsworthy. Anyone know a good reason why it would
not be reported?

Corrie Kost

Corrie Kost wrote:

> Hi John,
>
> Well, I guess this event was not very newsworthy. One would have thought
> that the defeat of an unfair bylaw which purported to impact some 15,000
> residential homes and
> almost all businesses would have been something to report in the North
> Shore News. Makes
> one think that only bad news is newsworthy - or maybe I just missed it?
> Maybe the Outlook
> will report it.
>
> Corrie Kost

Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>