

Subject: [Fwd: RE: CD54 - BC Rail lands - Request to Reconsider Council's Oct 3 Motion to read Bylaw 7549 a first time and refer to Public Hearing]

Date: Tue, 04 Oct 2005 15:10:49 -0700

From: Brian Platts <bplatts@shaw.ca>

To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: CD54 - BC Rail lands - Request to Reconsider Council's Oct 3 Motion to read Bylaw 7549 a first time and refer to Public Hearing

Date: Tue, 04 Oct 2005 02:50:00 -0700

From: Jim Cuthbert <Jim_Cuthbert@dnv.org>

To: Cathy Adams <cathyadams@canada.com>

CC: corrie@kost.ca, hunterjohn@telus.net, Council Remuneration <wrtracey@telus.net>, fonvca@fonvca.org, pat45@shaw.ca, allandorr@shaw.ca, macdunn@uniserve.com, Irwin Torry <Irwin_Torry@dnv.org>, Donna Howes <Donna_Howes@dnv.org>, andersen@sagafc.com, m.bragg@shaw.ca, valeriem@blaze.ca, bplatts@shaw.ca, cagebc@yahoo.com, James Ridge <James_Ridge@dnv.org>, Mayor and Council - DNV <Council@dnv.org>

Thanks for your email Cathy. I have requested Council's Oct 3rd carried motion to read Bylaw 7549 a

Councillor Cuthbert
604-924-3690

From: Cathy Adams [<mailto:cathyadams@canada.com>]

Sent: Tue 10/4/2005 1:19 AM

To: DNVCouncil

Cc: corrie@kost.ca; James Ridge; hunterjohn@telus.net; Council Remuneration; fonvca@fonvca.org; pat45@shaw.ca

Subject: CD54 - BC Rail lands

Dear Mayor and Council


It was interesting, to say the least, to listen to the debate on the issue of forwarding this property to a public hearing to consider rezoning it for the purpose of accommodating a transit centre.

In reading the actual bylaw, the Principal Use Regulations state that "Nothing shall be done on the property which is or may become a nuisance or annoyance to the surrounding area ..." It goes on to list these potential annoyances as items such as fumes, noise, glare, odours, etc.

So I question how a transit depot on the site would adhere to this provision for the CD54 zoning. This is not a rhetorical question - I really do hope someone can answer it!

The Lower Capilano OCP has been quoted in the discussion of this rezoning. I sat on that OCP committee. One provision in the OCP not spoken of to date is the section that deals with the protection of liveability for the neighbourhoods of Lower Capilano.

Cathy Adams

 BCRailRezoning.doc	<p>Name: BCRailRezoning.doc Type: WINWORD File (application/msword) Encoding: base64 Download Status: Not downloaded with message</p>
--	---