Subject: RE: SLP Prelim Applications
Date: Tue, 27 Sep 2005 15:12:15 -0700
From: "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>
To: "Bill Maurer" <billm@millsoft.ca>
CC: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@dnv.org>, "Senior Management Committee" <managecomm@dnv.org>, "James Ridge" <James_Ridge@dnv.org>, <mbragg@shaw.ca>, <fonvca@fonvca.org>

Hello Bill:

No, I did not speak in favour of it. I spoke in favour of making a clear
statement not a Jim Cuthbert half baked motion geared to confuse
everybody, weaken the process and play into the hands of the enemies of
community planning.

When I gave chess lessons the very first thing I told the new class is
"the first thing you have to know when you play an opponent is that he
is out to beat you". Well, they are out to beat you.

The issue is clear I stated. The Seymour plan is sacred and is not
subject to change every time somebody wants to revise the numbers. The
time to do that is during the public review process.

What was required last night was a clear statement to that effect not a
Jim Cuthbert, I don't want to be pregnant except maybe just a little bit
effort. You may not be aware, but Jim's amendment opens the door to
continued testing and questioning of the plan by every would-be
developer and real estate Tom, Dick and Harry.

It weakens and undermines the credibility of the whole community
planning process. That is the whole purpose why the pro developer and
the real-estate interests got that issue on the floor in the first
place. It is also the reason why Council deals with DVP applications
instead of the Board of Variance as is done in other municipalities -
"it stimulates the market". If your people don't understand that they
will pay a heavy price. The way to deal with that is NO, THE PLAN IS NOT
DEBATABLE - SORRY BUT IT IS NOT ON THE TABLE. If something extraordinary
comes up we can deal with it without going through any phoney hooplas.

Ernie Crist

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Maurer [mailto:billm@millsoft.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2005 1:58 PM
To: Ernie Crist
Subject: SLP Prelim Applications

I'm curious why you voted against Jim Cuthbert's ammendment motion of
item 3 on last night's agenda? You spoke in favour of it.

Regards,

Bill

	Name: winmail.dat
winmail.dat	**Type:** application/ms-tnef
	Encoding: base64