

**Subject: I am running for Mayor-I have been on Council for 12 years and I hope you will vote for me-I know the real estate people and developers will.**

**Date:** Fri, 29 Oct 2004 08:43:34 -0700

**From:** "Ernie Crist" <ernie\_crist@dnv.org>

**To:** "Ernie Crist" <ernie\_crist@dnv.org>

---

**From:** Ernie Crist

**Sent:** Thursday, October 28, 2004 9:57 PM

**To:** fonvca@fonvca.org

**Subject:** I am running for Mayor - I have been on Council for 12 years and I hope you will vote for me - I know the real estate people and developers will.

A MESSAGE FROM ERNIE CRIST

The pamphlets are being delivered to my door by the hopefuls for District Mayor and Councillor for the upcoming District of North Vancouver election Sat. Nov. 6.

1. One Mayoralty candidate in her pamphlet outlines "what the District must do to stay green and healthy": Boldly she states "we must protect our natural forested space". Fact ....while on Council she voted in favour of every single development, bravely saving one shrub here and there and making a big noise about it but showing no compunction to cut down every single tree in the way of development as she wanted in "Northlands".
2. She also states "Encourage compact re-development in core areas, as identified in Community Plans" ..... translation - densify residential areas including multifamily, like in Lynn Valley, traffic or no traffic, existing neighbourhood guidelines for height, setbacks in place to protect neighbourhoods from monster houses be damned. She even voted against making parking stalls bigger - the developer were also against it.
3. Develop a comprehensive strategy to return the health of our creeks her pamphlet states" ..... fact .... she has voted in favour of every single development application including within 10 feet of a creek to accommodate a subdivision when the setback back called for 150 feet. She is all in favour of protecting the environment and creeks all right, provided it is not in the way of development. For that is what she is, a 100 % pro developer person. The record confirms this.
- 4 "Prudent use of tax dollars will maintain the quality of services and amenities" the pamphlet goes on to say, but she voted in favour of of every single budget in the last ten years in violation of that policy including the virtual elimination of the District Heritage Fund amounting to hundreds of million of dollars with little or nothing to show for it - ditto the Infrastructure Reserve Fund amounting to \$ 91 million in 1997 but now gone. This is in addition to the tax increases which have seen District taxes climb from the second lowest in the region to the second highest.
5. " I support shared services" her pamphlet goes on to say .....translation she will continue to subsidise the City through our existing agreement as she has in the past whereby the City does not contribute a single penny to the capital maintenance of Recreation facilities in the District, even though District Recreation Facilities are deteriorating at an alarming rate and will soon require hundreds of millions of dollars to repair. The City is building the high-rises and the District is building the playing fields. This is what "shared services" means to her and to insure that it remains so, she voted against any and all attempts to change this agreement.

That is the one candidate running for Mayor who has fooled more people in a shorter time and more effectively than any other member of District Council - no wonder the developers are supporting her and are smacking their lips in anticipation of a new era in the District where local government is "friendlier to both real estate and developer interests". These people are not easily taken in by promises - they judge candidates by their voting record and when they look at this ex Councillor running for Mayor they like what they see.