

**Subject: FW:****Date:** Tue, 18 Nov 2003 10:24:00 -0800**From:** "Ernie Crist" <ernie\_crist@dnv.org>**To:** "FONVCA (E-mail)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>, <cagebc@yahoo.com>

&gt; -----Original Message-----

&gt; From: Ernie Crist

&gt; Sent: November 18, 2003 10:23 AM

&gt; To: James Ridge; Mayor and Council - DNV

&gt; Subject:

&gt;

&gt; Dear Mr Ridge:

&gt;

> During last night's Council meeting, while debating a DVP application on Lucerne Street, I asked why, in a majority of cases, including the one before us, construction had commenced before the approval was granted. When I asked the architect he stated sheepishly that it was only the foundation that had been constructed and not the proposed structure on top of the foundation.

&gt;

> I did not wish to embarrass anybody unduly even though I was tempted to do so after the Mayor interfered in the question - answer debate.

&gt;

> I raised the point since, increasingly, such variances come before Council after construction has already commenced and/or is well in progress.

&gt;

> The matter is also of interest since a few years ago we were asked to fund a lane paving project but after visiting the place two days before it came to Council for approval, I discovered the lane was already paved.

&gt;

> I am bringing this matter to your attention for information.

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;

[winmail.dat](#)**Name:** winmail.dat**Type:** application/ms-tnef**Encoding:** base64