

Subject: Record clarification In camera Council meeting Mar. 3-2003.

Date: Sat, 8 Mar 2003 07:40:12 -0800

From: "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>

To: "Agnes Hilsen" <Agnes_Hilsen@dnv.org>

CC: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@dnv.org>, "FONVCA (E-mail)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>, <cagebc@yahoo.com>

Ms Hilsen;

I am not quite clear from your e-mail whether you did or did not in writing submit my request that "Canlan" be added to the Mar. 3-2003 In Camera Agenda as an agenda addenda request item.


You may recall that I had submitted a motion in writing to that effect. You may also recall that the Mayor did NOT deal with this request in a procedurally correct manner as was his duty but merely "informed" Council that he would make a public statement to this effect. As I have pointed out already, he could have achieved the same result by following procedure i.e. processing the motion.

I am aware of his "verbal" statement that he will do so and which is reflected in the Minutes of Mar. 3-2003. The question still outstanding in my mind however, is whether you in turn had submitted my request and my motion in writing or not?

I did not see anything but if you did, then I apologize. However, if you did do so, I would like to have a copy. I would also appreciate to have a legal opinion as to whether my motion re "Canlan" qualifies as an IN Camera item. It is my personal opinion that dealing with this item In Camera (and not just this item alone) is in violation of the intent of the LGA - Please clarify.

Thank you,

Ernie Crist

| | |
|---|---|
|  winmail.dat | Name: winmail.dat Type: application/ms-tnef Encoding: base64 |
|---|---|