

Subject: [Fwd: District Bylaw 7272 - Misleading information by Staff]

Date: Tue, 26 Mar 2002 11:59:02 -0800

From: Brian Platts <brian_platts@telus.net>

To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

Subject: District Bylaw 7272 - Misleading information by Staff

Date: Tue, 26 Mar 2002 00:41:52 -0800

From: "Dave Sadler" <davesadler@telus.net>

To: "Agnes Hilsen" <ahilsen@district.north-van.bc.ca>,
"Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@district.north-van.bc.ca>

CC: "FONVCA" <fonvca@fonvca.org>, "Bill Rimmer" <rimmerb@dnv.org>

Dear Mayor & Council:

I have just been made aware as to what **Mr. Rimmer** was referring when he said words to the effect that '**public road allowances had little or no value.**' Mr. Rimmer was referring to a recent legal challenge regarding the amount of money Ledcore should pay the City of Vancouver for placing fibre optics cables under their roadways. In all fairness, Mr. Rimmer should have explained this fact, which is not unlike comparing the amount of compensation that should be paid for running a sewer line under private property.

Again, to be fair, Mr. Rimmer should have referred to the amount of money a telecommunications company recently paid the District to install a communications pole on an unopened road allowance. By my recollection, this amount was substantial and far greater than what private residents are being asked to pay under the proposed Bylaw 7272.

I welcome staff input in providing factual and unbiased information. However in this case, the encroachments under discussion were above ground and providing a below ground example without explanation was very misleading to Council & the public.

I request that the matter of providing misleading information be discussed with Mr. Rimmer at the earliest opportunity.

Yours truly, Dave Sadler