

Subject: RE: Agenda Item #1 of June 17th DNV Council Meeting

Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2002 22:00:21 -0700

From: Ernie Crist <ernie_crist@dnv.org>

To: "Corrie Kost" <corrie@kost.ca>, Agnes Hilsen <Agnes_Hilsen@dnv.org>, Bill Denault <Bill_Denault@dnv.org>, Don Bell2 <belld@dnv.org>, Doug MacKay-Dunn <macdunn@dnv.org>, Ernie Crist <ernie_crist@dnv.org>, Heather Dunsford <Heather_Dunsford@dnv.org>, Janice Harris <Janice_Harris@dnv.org>, Lisa Muri <lisa_muri@dnv.org>

CC: fonvca@fonvca.org, OUTLOOK <newsroom@northshoreoutlook.com>, northshore news <editor@nsnews.com>

Dear Mr. Kost:

I concur with your observations. The actions of this CCA endorsed Council on Monday night were indefensible. It was yet another example of the contempt this Council appears to have for the public and the democratic process in general. As for using the absence of one Councillor as a reason not to deal with the Seymour issue, I can state with conviction that if I had been absent on Monday night instead of this one CCA endorsed Councillor, such a deferral would not have been contemplated by Council much less passed by resolution.

It is however up to the public to draw the necessary conclusions and I am confident that this was done.

Yours truly,

Ernie Crist

-----Original Message-----

From: Corrie Kost [<mailto:corrie@kost.ca>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2002 5:44 PM

To: Agnes Hilsen; Bill Denault; Don Bell; Doug MacKay-Dunn; Ernie Crist; Heather Dunsford; Janice Harris; Lisa Muri

Cc: fonvca@fonvca.org; OUTLOOK; northshore news

Subject: Agenda Item #1 of June 17th DNV Council Meeting

Your Worship & Members of Council,


I want to express my disappointment in the manner that Council dealt with the Seymour Local Plan last Monday. In my opinion you have done an injustice, not only to the hard working volunteers of the local planning group, and the residents of Seymour, but also to all District residents and Councillor Doug Mackay-Dunn, whose absence from the meeting was used as an excuse to defer the simple endorsement of the questionnaire to be put to the public in a series of Seymour Local Plan meetings arranged for this week-end.

In this case the decision to defer had consequences which far exceeded those that would have occurred had the item been dealt with. If you intended to protect the interests of the missing councillor as per council policy then you have accomplished the exact opposite. With this deferral you have, without the consent of the missing councillor, made a mayor decision to have a series of public meeting without any questions being put to those who attend them!

Those who voted for the deferral should be ashamed of themselves. You did not act in the interests of the public and the many volunteers.

Yours truly,

Corrie Kost

 Part 1.2	Type: application/ms-tnef Encoding: base64
---	---