

**Subject: [Fwd: FW: Notice of Motion; Telecommunication Facilities approval - Report Councillor Crist]**

**Date:** Tue, 13 Nov 2001 12:51:18 -0800

**From:** Brian Platts <brian\_platts@telus.net>

**To:** Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

---

**Subject: Re: FW: Notice of Motion; Telecommunication Facilities approval - Report Councillor Crist**

**Date:** Tue, 13 Nov 2001 18:07:54 +0000 (GMT)

**From:** Elizabeth James <cagebc@yahoo.com>

**To:** Ernie Crist <CristE@district.north-van.bc.ca>, "FONVCA \ (E-mail)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>

Hear, hear!

*Ernie Crist* <CristE@district.north-van.bc.ca> wrote:

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Ernie Crist

> Sent: Sunday, November 11, 2001 11:08 PM

> To: Nathalie Valdes

> Subject: Notice of Motion; Telecommunication Facilities approval -

> Report Councillor Crist

>

> Motion:

>

> That prior to the approval of the installation of Telecommunication

> Facilities in the District of North Vancouver all such requests be

> submitted to the respective Community Association in any given area for

> information and consultation.

>

> Rationale:

>

> Any request for the installation of Telecommunication Facilities in the

> District is at present vetted by the District Planning Department and

> requires no approval of Council. Indeed according to policy Council is

> merely informed rather than being consulted.

>

> On! the other hand the appearance of such facilities is frequently not only

> out of character in any given neighborhood but is also in some cases very

> controversial.

>

> Applications such as the one for 995 Roche Point for instance allows for

> the Director of Planning, Engineering, Parks and Regulatory Services to

> directly approve construction of this facility. In the case of 995 Roche

> Point Drive submitted by Bell Mobility Inc. such antenna installations

> are already in place. Bell Mobility now; requests the installation of an

> additional facility.

>

> Since such facilities have at least the potential of severely impacting at

> least the esthetic appearance of a neighborhood, it behooves Council to

> request that such applications be submitted to the relevant community

> association for consultation prior to being approved by Staff.

>

> In the event that a neighborhood objects to such an installation the

> matter should come before Council much like requested variances to the

> District Sign Bylaw are coming before Council.

>

>

> ATTACHMENT part 2 application/ms-tnef

**Do You Yahoo!?**

Get personalised at [My Yahoo!](#).